E-Filed 10/29/09

1	Stephen F. Yunker (CSB 110159)	Peter S. Hecker (Bar No. 66159)
2	YUNKER & SCHNEIDER	Anna S. McLean (Bar No. 142233)
	655 West Broadway, Suite 1400 San Diego, California 92101	SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER AND HAMPTON, LLP
3	Telephone: (619) 233-5500	Four Embarcadero Center 17 th Floor
4	Facsimile: (619) 233-5535	San Francisco, California 94111-4109
_	Email: sfy@yslaw.com	Telephone: (415) 774-3155
5		Facsimile: (415) 403-6224
6	Joseph N. Kravec, Jr. (Admitted pro hac vice)	Email: phecker@sheppardmullin.com amclean@sheppardmullin.com
7	SPECTER SPECTER EVANS & MANOGUE, P.C.	Frank Burt (Admitted pro hac vice)
8	The 26 th Floor Koppers Building	Denise A. Fee (Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
0	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219	Dawn B. Williams (Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
9	Telephone: (412) 642-2300	JORDEN BURT LLP
10	Facsimile: (412) 642-2309	1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
10	Email: jnk@ssem.com	Washington, DC 20007-0805
11	James M. Pietz (Admitted pro hac vice)	Telephone: (202) 965-8140 Facsimile: (202) 965-8104
12	PIETZ LAW OFFICE	Email: fgb@jordenusa.com
	Mitchell Building	daf@jordenusa.com
13	304 Ross Street, Suite 700	dbw@jordenusa.com
14	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219	6 D 6 1
	Telephone: (412) 288-4333 Facsimile: (412) 288-4334	Attorneys for Defendant AMERICAN SECURITY INSURANCE
15	Email: jpietz@jpietzlaw.com	COMPANY
16	Situati press e prossa media	
	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
17	MICHELLE T. WAHL, on behalf of	
18	herself and all others similarly situated	
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
20	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
21	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
21		,
22	MICHELLE T. WAHL, on behalf of) Case No. C:08-0555-RS
23	herself and all others similarly situated,)
23	Plaintiff,) <u>CLASS ACTION</u>
24	Fiantun,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
25	v.	ORDER AMENDING THE CASE MANAGEMENT SCHEDULING
26	AMERICAN SECURITY INSURANCE COMPANY; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	ORDER ENTERED ON AUGUST 5, 2009
27	Defendants.	<i>)</i>)
28		
		1
	I and the second	1

Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Amending the Case Management Scheduling Order Entered on August 5, 2009
Case No.: C:08-0555-RS

The parties, by their undersigned counsel, hereby submit the following stipulation and [proposed] order for entry by this Court pursuant to Local Rule 7-12:

- 1. On August 5, 2009, this Court entered a Further Case Management Scheduling Order (Document 77) providing the parties until October 16, 2009 to complete discovery related to class certification issues. The Court also set November 3, 2009 for the filing of Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification and Defendant's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, with oppositions due on December 4, 2009 and replies due on December 22, 2009. A hearing was set for both motions on January 14, 2010, at 9:30 a.m.
- 2. On August 27, 2009, Defendant filed a Motion for Protective Order (Document 79) seeking to limit its production of discovery in response to Plaintiff's document requests and interrogatories.
- 3. Because any motion filed on or about August 27, 2009 would not have been heard until early October, 2009 on the normal 35 day motion cycle under Local Rule 7-2(a), just a few days before the October 16, 2009 class certification discovery deadline, the parties requested and the Court approved an expedited hearing on Defendant's Motion for Protective Order, and a hearing on that Motion was held on September 16, 2009.
- 4. On October 23, 2009, the Court issued an Order Granting In Part And Denying In Part Defendant's Motion For Protective Order And For Sanctions (Document 89), directing Defendant to produce documents and interrogatory answers Plaintiff sought concerning all lenders for whom Defendant issued lender placed insurance ("LPI") in California. In response to this Order, Defendant has advised that it has approximately 40,000 pages of responsive documents that it will be producing to Plaintiff during the week of November 2, 2009. Plaintiff will, of course, need sufficient time to review these documents and interrogatory answers determine whether any deposition(s) or other discovery is necessary before presenting her Motion for Class Certification.
- 5. At the September 16, 2009 hearing, the Court indicated that it would enter an amended class discovery and motion submission schedule once the Motion for Protective Order was decided. Undersigned Plaintiff's counsel, Joseph N. Kravec, Jr., was subsequently advised by the

Court's clerk that the parties should confer on an amended schedule and present a proposal to the Court.

- 6. In that connection, the parties hereby agree to and submit the following stipulation for an amended schedule for completion of class discovery and for the hearing and briefing on Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification and Defendant's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings:
 - 1. On or before **January 13, 2009**, all class certification discovery shall be completed by the parties. Any discovery requests pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 33-36 and 45 shall be served far enough in advance so that responses to written discovery shall be due, as provided in said rules, no later than the discovery completion date. All depositions shall be concluded by the discovery completion date.
 - 2. Plaintiff's motion and brief in support of class certification and Defendant's contemplated Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings shall be filed no later than **January 27**, **2010**.
 - 3. Defendant's opposition to the Motion for Class Certification and Plaintiff's opposition to the Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings shall be filed no later than **February 24, 2010**.
 - 4. Plaintiff's reply in support of her Motion for Class Certification and Defendant's reply in support of its Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings shall be filed no later than **March 10, 2010**.
 - 5. The Motion for Class Certification and the Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings shall be heard on **March 24, 2010, at 9:30 a.m.** in Courtroom 4, 5th Floor, United States Courthouse, 280 S. First Street, San Jose, California.

1	The Parties respectfully request that the Court enter this Stipulation.	
2	Dated: August 26, 2009	
3	AGREED TO BY:	
4	SPECTER SPECTER EVANS	JORDEN BURT LLP
5	& MANOGUE, P.C.	
6	By: s/Joseph N. Kravec, Jr. Joseph N. Kravec, Jr.	By: <u>s/ Frank G. Burt (per e-mail consent)</u> Frank G. Burt
7	The 26 th Floor, Koppers Building	Denise A. Fee, Esquire
8	Pittsburgh, PA 15219	Dawn B. Williams, Esquire
	Telephone: (412) 642-2300	1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
9	Facsimile: (412) 642-2309	Washington, DC 20007-0805
10	Email: jnk@ssem.com	Telephone: (202) 965-8140
10		Facsimile: (202) 965-8104
11	James M. Pietz, Esquire	Email: fgb@jordenusa.com
12	PIETZ LAW OFFICE	daf@jordenusa.com
12	Mitchell Building 304 Ross Street, Suite 700	dbw@jordenusa.com
13	Pittsburgh, PA 15219	Peter S. Hecker, Esquire
	Telephone: (412) 288-4333	Anna S. McLean, Esquire
14	Facsimile: (412) 288-4334	SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER
15	Email: jpietz@jpietzlaw.com	& HAMPTON, LLP
		Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor
16	Steve Yunker, Esquire	San Francisco, CA 94111-4109
17	YUNKER & SCHNEIDER	Telephone: (415) 774-3155
1 /	655 West Broadway, Suite 1400	Facsimile: (415) 403-6224
18	San Diego, CA 92101	Email: phecker@sheppardmullin.com
10	Telephone: (619) 233-5500 Facsimile: (619) 233-5535	amclean@sheppardmullin.com
19	Email: SFY@yslaw.com	ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
20		MITORIVETS TOR DETERMINE
21	ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF	
22	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO O	RDERED.
22		RDERED.
23		
24	October 20	Till Seeling
	Dated: October 29 , 2009	
25		Honorable Richard Seeborg
26		
27		
28		4

4